

**ENTERED**

June 17, 2024

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
HOUSTON DIVISION**

VENODHAR R. JULAPALLI,	§
	§
Plaintiff.	§
	§
V.	§ CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:23-CV-02061
	§
MARC L. BOOM, <i>et al.</i> ,	§
	§
Defendants.	§

**ORDER ADOPTING MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S  
AMENDED MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION**

On January 22, 2024, Defendants' Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 18) was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Andrew M. Edison under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B). Dkt. 26. Judge Edison filed an Amended Memorandum and Recommendation on May 31, 2024, recommending that Defendants' Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 18) be **GRANTED**. *See* Dkt. 33.

On June 3, 2024, Plaintiff filed his Objections. In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the [magistrate judge's] report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection [has been] made." After conducting this de novo review, the Court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." *Id.*; *see also* FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(3).

The Court has carefully considered the Objections; the Memorandum and Recommendation; the pleadings; and the record. The Court **ACCEPTS** Judge Edison's

Memorandum and Recommendation and **ADOPTS** it as the opinion of the Court. It is therefore **ORDERED** that:

- (1) Judge Edison's Amended Memorandum and Recommendation (Dkt. 33) is **APPROVED AND ADOPTED** in its entirety as the holding of the Court; and
- (2) Defendants' Rule 12(b)(6) Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. 18) is **GRANTED**.

It is so **ORDERED**.

SIGNED at Houston, Texas on June 17, 2024.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
GEORGE C. HANKS, JR.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE